

Egremont Town Council

Question	Agree	Response
1 – Geology	Yes	<p>It would seem that the Partnership's work on geology can only be limited due to no sites being identified.</p> <p>The amount of land not excluded at this stage again would seem ample for a GDF if one was agreed.</p>
2 – Safety, security, environment and planning	Yes	<p>The UK has a long history of nuclear therefore confidence in the regulators is acceptable. The only concern would be the ability of the regulators to regain knowledge on a project that spans many years.</p> <p>More information on all aspects of safety and environmental impacts would be needed to ensure a community would have confidence in a GDF.</p> <p>Details on the planning system would need to be known but as this seems to change depending on who is in Government, we understand it is difficult at this moment in time to finalise the details. But communities would want to know how they could influence the planning discussions.</p> <p>There is some slight concern that the NDA are responsible for developing a safety case as implemented of a GDF and the community would expect these documents to be independently assessed at the appropriate time.</p>
3 – Impacts	Yes	<p>We don't think any more impacts can be identified until site specific work has started.</p> <p>Recognition of the impacts on different areas need to be understood more fully.</p>
4 – Community benefits	Yes	<p>Egremont Town Council only agree because it is so early in the process to go into any details.</p> <p>Communities would like to be informed and ask to influence any benefits package and recognition of the differing impacts should be replicated in the community benefits package.</p>
5 – Design and engineering	No	<p>It is difficult not to agree, as we recognise that all thanks can be considered and generic designs as detailed design work will be site specific.</p>
6 – Inventory	Not Sure/ Partly	<p>Egremont Town Council understand that at this stage, details can't be discussed or even known but this is an important area and firmer assurances will be needed from Government. Using the word significant is not detailed enough.</p> <p>At this stage, we don't think there is further information that can be provided.</p>
7 – Siting process	Yes	<p>As representatives from an area that could be directly or indirectly impacted upon we would want the opportunity to be involved,</p>

		<p>the ability to influence and represent our communities.</p> <p>Early discussions, if a decision to participate is taken, would be welcomed.</p>
8 – Overall views on participation		<p>Egremont Town Council recognises the need to find a long term solution for higher activity wastes but feel there is not enough information to make a final decision so we will reserve our judgement until more information is available. However at this stage, we are supportive of the proposed way forward if a decision to participate is taken.</p>